

Concerning the fact that we have lot of agencies out there, and I do mean a lot of agencies out there. I overflowed into the architects institute next door with the meeting with these folks a few weeks ago, where the number of employees varies from 4-5000 or so and so you might say that those guys with 5000 big/small agencies and then you have those people with 4 employees, Is there anybody like that in the room. I saw Sheryl's name earlier. So I know that Sheryl is here at least. You know I think they call himself micro minis, which I really kind of like the idea of and the thing is these folks do not really want to stand up a PIV Issuance Program of their own. So we really want to look at sponsorship, how can some of the agencies that are a little more experienced that to do this on large basis that have a national presence if you will and also see this is as part to their mission, help those other agencies, who for them to try and stand up these process internally would run to several thousand dollars per certificate, you know, because this is only four people and how can we help that, so we stood up this small group which has those larger agencies that are interested in being sponsors and a subset of small agencies that want to be sponsored and we are in the process of writing a process document for how we will do that. So the folks that are up here today, I have started the other end. I have David Wilson, who is the representative from these securities and strange commission, who consider themselves small agency I guess budget has nothing to do with that and how much money you actually control and has volunteered to chare this new working group to try and work this program up.

Next to him is Bob Donaldson. Bob is from department of interior if we have not figured that part yet and department of interior is very interested in being a sponsoring agency because this is a line of business, that they feel that they are well positioned to serve in and they have already been working with the SEC on how we can put something like this together is sort of an example, that we can then blow up for sponsorship government wide.

Next to Bob is Tony \_\_\_\_\_

Tony is a support contractor to quite few one of us and one of the members, I think \_\_\_\_\_ if you will when it comes to thinking to rule out these problems and I know works closely with Bob in lot of areas as well that allows the introduction \_\_\_\_\_

It was the best introduction \_\_\_\_\_it is better being called a trash collector I will tell you that

And finally we have Ron Rise who is from the General Service Administration, again another agency that is fairly well represented nationwide. We tend to run all the buildings, it is kind of ever body's landlord and so therefore we also see our mission. We have these facilities protection and our lots of folks landlord already. So we believe, we have a role to play here. Now these are not the only sponsoring agencies. There are others that also work in the space. We believe there is a role for the Department of Home-Line Security in the space since they have overall responsibility for the safety of all of us and we do appreciate that and I feel safe everyday because of Tom, Kevin \_\_\_\_\_ and we also have the Department of Agriculture, who do a lot of sponsorship for lot of agencies in these areas as well financial and HR and so why not identity credentialing as well and then may be others. There may other folks out there that have not identified themselves but would be interested in also acting as sponsors. The key is here is to do in again in a manner that is cross cutting, where we are not competing with each other, but we are working together to in fact have a single product, so with that I am going to just open the floor up for each person to make a short statement about their interest here and what

they see the concerns are and then we will take questions from the floor as always..

I can tell if this is on, (the microphone) it is, . How is that, better?. I am Dave Wilson from the FCC and last year I went to my boss and I said hey there is a new \_\_\_\_\_ that is coming out and who is running it and the answer came back you are. So I so got involved in this and it turns out a lot of agencies like this. This just sort of popped up and has been so much guidance you should that we really have firm handle with what we are doing and Judy was kind enough to set up a meeting for small agencies, we are going to deal together express our concerns and strangely enough those concerns are pretty much identical with the concerns express in this room today. One of the difference is I think the small agencies would not believe so much concerned with the fundamentals of the cards themselves, we are more concerned with things like making sure all our privacy issues are covered by October, which is when they need to be done. We are more concerned with making sure that HR has embraced these issues because they are coming and if you have not gotten HRs buy in on this yet, you really need to start right now because that has to be done by October. In terms of the actual issuance of the cards, maintenance the cards things of that nature of the maneuver that has been mentioned repeatedly today. We do expect to contract that of to agencies such as interior. We have begun talking to interior we are hoping to a menu of choices, options things we can do one from column A and two from column B and hopefully get everything set up. The SSC is unusual and that we actually have an HR department but many many many small agencies have no HR department. They contract that out. Nobody is quite sure how it is going to work in a new situation. We also have a number of Regional District Offices, some of which are smallest for people. We are not exactly sure how that is going to apply across the board, how this is all going to work. These issues were thinking that, I am going to hand over to Bob and see what it goes.

As the IB chair over the last few years I got a lot of interesting assignment and Judy actually asked me to come as the IB chair if you will have a dialogue with small agency council and we did pack the room and there were lots of questions that came from the small agency council not unlike what we deal everyday inside on infrastructure. The questions were actually some were actually unique, because of their situation, their size some of them want to do different pieces just like our organization would like to do different pieces, but the questions were far more similar to what we answer on a day in day out basis and were different and we think that we have some commonality and I think any agency, and I would encourage other agencies the department of veterans affair. I am going to brag about the Department of Energy to come forward and think about becoming a shared service provider also. The relationships that we have with these agencies transcends this issue we actually, if will do payroll for a large part of federal government, we are standing up in a line of business and if asset management, financial management, budget performance management few other areas that we already have relationships with you agreements working relationships and we exchanged a lot of information, so this is almost the natural journey that we were continuing as we think about expanding partnerships in this identity management and not only that identity use. The privacy impact assessment so we have done in the department of interior both in our physical and logical space or things that you tools and we already put some those things in place. We will have to revisit those as we go forward. We have collected a large number of frequently asked questions because we disseminate those throughout the government any ways. We actually have if you will have an end-user 22-minute video that is on the streaming that is a smart card website for inducers and I know that lot of agency shows that with their employees, so

all these communication tools we have been putting in place, not only for interior, but in partnership with IB and the FIG are out there for availability. One of the greatest challenges I think we have is just the sheer volume of detailed questions that we can answer on behalf of the small agency similar to what I can answer every phone call from everybody inside my organization about how to buy a reader. We actually had a physical access staff that were training and we have other staff to direct those questions to besides may getting a 60,000 phone calls a day.

That is usually how they start where the sponsoring agency and my phone rings off the hook and so what we have to do is find and we had find to explore, discover as a relationship about how we can work together in a partnership and appreciate the fact that SEC stood up and volunteered to create a new arrangement where they we can actually have a organized dialogue versus phone fest or E-mail fest go forward and there is a lots, everything that we have done in the department, \_\_\_\_\_ has been basically contracted out commercialized and it appears as though we are going to continue to be doing that and what we would offer also is that a lot of these contract vehicles are available to small agencies in some cases, small agencies we have actually made partner with you to help you become a shared service provider because the state of the technology, the state of the products that are currently being deployed are COTS products and the small agencies does not have as large bureaucracies to deal with as you think about change management. We think we have been successful in department of interior because of our size. DOD some times consider us as a laboratory. We deployed the 64K in contact as working with the DOD and they assisted us in doing that. It was actually easy to that in our small space than it was across a you know, 3-4 million dollar or 4 million user enterprise and similar to a small agency, we can help you minimize the risk and become a leader in this area. So I guess I am looking forward to the dialogue that we collectively, if you will, join together in this journey towards this HSPD compliance, they were all I think it cited about embracing and if it says, a lot of your asking us questions and like Tony said several time throughout the day we don't have all the answers, we have a lot and we hopefully will working together explore what those answers really are and I guess that is all I have right now Judy.

So Bob asked me to just share a couple of thoughts especially when it comes, especially when it comes to small agency involvement and sponsorship. So this has somewhat to deal with another thing you have heard several times that they shared about, talked about us in the area first responders. So if you can just think of the front end of the process, the front end of the sponsor process would be the identity varying all the investigative side, everything up to the point of approval. I want to you issue that person a badge. I think whoever the sponsoring agency is cannot do that for the small agency at least, I don't think so. I think that has to be done within the agency itself to the point of approval, which triggers something to occur. So I think these are things that needed to sorted out, these are not like the ten commandments, but I think who you issue a badge to in SEC has to be part of, has to be triggered by some approval process that SECS has been part of and has triggered the next step to occur. Then I think an infracture can be put in place, if you look at this in a second step where between the cards, the card management system, the certificate authority and those type of things can be put in place to serve the card issuance process after the small agency as requested or triggered that this thing to be put in place and I guess the third step of the process would be then where is issuance to take place. Is the issuance to take place at some central repository where you are going to send your people, is issuance to take place at the smallest agency itself or can issuance take place something like

in a mobile environment, very a kind of what we did on the navy side and with DOD, there was mass issuance with mobility trailers, they can move out the sites and have your people go into those sides on a type of, on a scheduled type of basis and there and that handles kind of how you can get the card to be issued, what would serve the card and the issuance piece of this, but back at the small agency what needs to be understood and again this is an October 27, 2005 issue, but what needs to be understood is when we talk about this Chinese menu that this card at issuance doesn't end today. This card needs to be put into use, so someone within that agency either has an advisory support or within the agency itself needs to start to configure the internal logical systems and the physical systems, so that these cards can be read by the access systems that needs to be read by and is that something that a sponsoring agency also offers, is that something that the small agency does itself. There are some and I guess that is part of the Chinese set of menus that is being brought up and need to be sorted out in the next few weeks so that some of this can be addressed.

I am Ron Rice with the public billing service for GSA. We are currently exploring the possibility of offering the service to other federal agencies to issue an ID. Certainly we, GSA, federal STS, federal telecommunication service, and FSS currently have the aquisition of vehicles to do so and the FTS has advised me that they would offer the service to other agencies to provide IDs certainly wherever throughout the country. We are currently issuing smart cards or card access, we would continue to do so.

We are going to open it up now to questions from the floor but Ron has raised a point that I think is important and I kind of focussed on small agencies, but I think it is bigger than that because I think there is also this notion of regional sponsorship. If there is a federal building in San Francisco, somewhere around 450 Golden Gate, San Francisco, which I happen to know there is one and there is may be 10 or 15 agencies that are tenants for that building. Does it make sense to roll off Tony's mobile unit outside 450 Golden Gate and have one issuance point for all the people in that building and that it does not mean that the interior employee doesn't get a card that is modified or personalized to look like an interior card, but that in fact we do pool our resources. Is that, is that makes sense to interior. It makes sense. There is some technical challenges we have is we go forward from the way we currently do card management, PKI across an architecture provisioning and these are some challenges we need to work out. When we actually deploy our card, we actually \_\_\_\_\_ or active directory for access to physical and for logical, so he wants to do the physical aspect, are we are going to let you in our firewall to do our logical provisioning. Those are somethings that we need to work out and some challenges that we have before as we go forward with this type of if you will to figure out where the firewalls are, where we can actually partner successfully.

As you do many things that are \_\_\_\_\_ if you had several of those, I would have \_\_\_\_\_, I don't want to speak for DOD, but some of the larger agencies who had sites throughout the country, I think one of these times we are looking at putting a map together were all the issuance stations are right now, but that is something that should be explored and may be offered to small agencies rather than standing up an issuance station within their agencies \_\_\_\_\_so that makes any sense..

Questions from the floor

I will be right there.

We are recording this, that's why we want mikes, second one.

Yes. Louis \_\_\_ Small Business Administration, we have about 130 offices throughout the country and when we go and places like that and some of those offices we have make the three people, may be three, some of our offices we have three people, we may have 10 people and we are in all areas of the country. Some of these areas are remote, so they are not near some of these large offices and what we would like to do is figure out how we can partner with someone who would like to have cost data because we cannot afford to pay the cost to do this particular projects. So we would like to know of all the agencies who would like to, like to partner, give us some data and we would like to entertain that, this ended up for management consideration.

If I am right, that is kind of what the document you guys are putting together right.

Yes, at a high level

I am going to give you information on that now we are actually doing some estimates under \$100 per head regardless of location again, Department Of Interior. We got people at the bottom of Mt. McKineley, islands ,atolls, throughout the world for fish and wild life. We are actually spread pretty soon ourselves, and so we have those same challenges as well as a lot of the agencies do, and will be glad to share those information.

So the number we have been talking about is 100 dollars a head give or take.

And there are assumptions about that too. And that does not include physical \_\_\_\_\_ your buildings and things like that. We actually do have plans that were working with homeland security about how to do with buildings whether they are leased or owned. So, we actually again have lots of information to share.

My name is Craig Meyer, and I'm with the Denver Sheriff's Department, Denver, Colorado represents North Central Region Homeland Security Area. One of the things we are looking out and I have not addressed yet is mobile credential for an emergency site itself. A lot physical accesses, buildings and so forth have to be addressed, but what we want to know one of things we put together is a mobile credentialing system. We are trying to keep it compliant with what you guys are doing, but we keep getting standards changed. I have 46 agencies 26 hospitals, EMS, private response groups, the volunteers, red cross, and all those type of people that we need to work into our system, and I am wondering how we set up much for that yet. Because I heard this talk a little bit about volunteers and we went right on down the road. So, question is this when we are doing the smart cards, what you require to have on this smart cards what the architecture is, and then what we have left as locals to put on in the smart card for our use is in our regions and locals.

Again beyond the FIPS 201 to one requirement, which is basically directed to the federal community and contractors. We are working with homeland security here in FEMA region three and have an implementation plan to do that exact same thing and we are going to have mobile insurance station within FEMA region 3 and we have actually have some information on that and we will hook you up with that those folks. The department of interior is also the sponsor to the national interagency fire center, we have relationship with the \_\_\_ nationwide also. We are co-sponsor of this homeland security effort and we are aware that they have the same issues as you do, red cross, salvation army, all these

emergency response volunteers, doctors. If you have people who work on parallel lines, there is an intention of issuing those a card and then hopefully as we go forwards in the same architectural sense somewhere in the 3-to-5 year timeframe, they will be if you will FIPS compliant.

Tom Lockwood, is part of the direct reports that Chertoff in this area is sponsoring the first responder partnership here in this region, as the initial region for the rest of the country. So if you pass me some initial information, we will get you information. I think you point of contact within the HS whose mostly involved in this is Kevin Crouch, and if you can get him that information or get me the information, I will get it to Kevin, we can go forward together in order do something that would be compliant to where you are trying to go.

I am Joe Burns from the court services and offender supervision agency. We are small federal agency here in DC. We have a bit of different situation than most federal agencies as are headquarters buildings shared with the Washington DC District Agency. So they don't fall under FIPS. They don't fall under this homeland situation, yet a lot of the perimeter security in the physical access on the exterior of the building to get in is provided by our agency, because we are actually the bigger tenant within that building. The Washington DC Police Department is, I think, committed to about a million dollars worth of acquisitions this year, that are FIPS 201 complaint. So we are actually working with the Washington Government in the FEMA region 3, so there should be a good partnership there. Part of while we try to do,

This is with the public defender service.

Okay.

They were indicated they are not.

Again, the entire Washington DC Government is participating at different levels and it is similar to what we are dealing with not only here, but nationally. Is trying to hook up people within their own organizations just likely heard GSA kind of have a communication here, about where we are going collectively across, again not only the Federal Government, but states and locals. We have the entire state of Pennsylvania as a partner and many other states are coming forward. So, we would like to work with you to make that relationship happened.

One of the short term solution here is we have got a couple of rental spaces that are basically in public building around the country and we are going to simply require people to have two cards at some point until the transition is completed.

I can recognize this man he is from my committee.

Hi I am Ned Reed with the national endowment for the arts. We are an agency of about 160 employees, we are here in Washington in a building with several other federal agencies the building is owned and managed by GSA. Because of our size and the kind of facility that we are in we are obviously hoping that GSA will take the position that it makes sense to provide a certain level of services for multi-tenant buildings. I am curious that with the provision of cards through sponsoring agency. How much time should we spend trying to decide what features we want on the card, what we want it to look like what, optional elements we should have on it. If we small agencies are getting our cards from

or through another agency would be in fact be told this is what the card is going to look like and contain you simply provide the data and your agency seal if you want it. Thank you.

The topography standards the way I understand are agency choice within the FIPS and so the agency makes the determination. As it relates to any kind of service provider if I go to McDonald's I can get a burger key, I have to get a McDonald's burger, so I would suggest that why you have some choices if you was to get services from the department of interior we have a shared service provider in place that we have put in place because of economies of scale. If you ask for alternative implementation, we would probably ask you to talk with the agency that provides that kind of alternative implementation, because it would be an increased cost to us to provide that to you. So, I think we just need to be honest about those expectations about what we can do for one another and again how we would like to do is have a dialogue with you about what the cost drivers really are. Your question actually may be increase in cost and therefore your cost. It hopefully gets to some of what you are trying to try to ask.

Honestly from my perspective things that people should worried about now or not what the cards should look like. You should have somebody from physical security, somebody from HR, somebody from here information security team, somebody from your privacy officer, somebody from your IG's office should be checking occasionally, if you have a union involved, you need to have a union in involved in these negotiations. These are the real issues on the table right now. They need to be dealt with immediately.

I am Steve Mitchell from social security, I am already getting calls from like the Boston region about people on a federal boarding there who the GSA folks are saying we will give you the cards, just give us X amount of dollars and so forth. One question I have that was held the whole process with work for those kinds of situation if ever federal boarding with a lot of different agencies in it. In terms of the issuance of the card is just the last step of a whole process. Your start the whole background, check the sponsorship, upfront, and all those kinds of things. When you get into the union aspects, which we have already discussed the \_\_\_\_\_ bargaining and so forth, which would be big issue for us, but how would you see the whole process working, if you decide to issues cards to everybody in the Boston federal building for example.

That is why we set up the working group that states problem now.

I will take care of that today.

He will have that by close of business.

That is a critical issue and part of idea of \_\_\_\_\_ this kind of menu that we have been talking about here is we want to make this as flexible possible, so that whatever the situation is you will be able to look at the menu and say this is what I need to \_\_\_\_\_ things.

Again, as far as the interoperability, so it works. These cards, my card, can be read over DOD. DOD cards can be registered and read over hour, so whether or not your are the building owner or who is that is an aspect, but these are interoperable tokens we are talking about.

Hi. My name is Phyllis Holmes, I am with the US Peace Corps. Our agency in a leased building and our lease is getting ready to expire in the next couple of

years. The associate director from management is concerned that if we invest all this money in changing the structure of the building to accommodate the readers or wiring that we have to, then in a year we are going to have leave that building because that is one of the things that we are looking at, is our alternatives into whether we are going to stay or whether we are going to go. Are there any provisions in the policy or you see any provisions coming in the near future that will allow for waivers for agencies who are currently running out of time on their lease options.

When you start talking about waivers, we all look at OMB.

Well, I think this is a really good question because you are obviously right in that. It does not really make sense to invest in equipping a building that you are going to move out in 6 months to a year. Certainly, I think that makes a lot of sense. As the department of transportation is in the process of building a whole new building in southeast. So they are considering as they are looking to move. So, I would definitely make that in your agency comments on the guidance and we will think about have to do it. Because in larger agencies, it may only impact one particular building and not the entire agency. So, I would never say, oh, we will give your agency a waiver when we are only looking at one particular building or facility within the larger agency. There are lots of issues there, I have heard comment before and was certainly look to address that in our implementation guidance.